

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,308	10/689,308 10/20/2003		Kate E. Nordland	86012-34800-USPT	2871
28763	7590	10/16/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER BO	•		BRADEN, SHAWN M		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112-4498				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3781	<u> </u>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/689,308	NORDLAND ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Shawn M. Braden	3781		

Before the rining of all Appeal Biles	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Shawn M. Braden	3781							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
E REPLY FILED <u>22 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	The periods. The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th							
AMENDMENTS	William the time period set forth in o	7 Of 10 41.07 (a).							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a						
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13.	4	JES F. PASCU PRIMARY EXAMI	Cus A NER						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant is making the same argument that was addressed in the final office action.